

Status of the candidates for the post of Librarian

(Ref: Advt. No. 3/2024 dated 01/10/24)

Department: Central Library

Vacancy: 01 (UR -01)

S. No.	Name of candidate	Remarks		Reason for Rejection
		Accepted	Rejected	
1	Rakesh Joshi	Accepted	-	
2	Hariom Mishra	Accepted	-	
3	Shashi Rai	Accepted	-	
4	Jyashmi Basumatary	Accepted	-	
5	Gopal Pandey	Accepted	-	
6	Pratibha Kumari	Accepted	-	
7	Bidisha Bora	Accepted	-	
8	Videto Neikha	Accepted	-	
9	Diganta Hazong	Accepted	-	
10	Toni Koyu	Accepted	-	
11	Sandip Roy	Accepted	-	
12	Lambodara Parabhoi	Accepted	-	
13	Supriya Das	Accepted	-	
14	Ajay Natung	Accepted	-	
15	Pragya Lochan Dutta	Accepted	-	
16	Vinoth Kumar E.	Accepted	-	
17	Birendra Kumar	Accepted	-	
18	Prathipati Kamal Teja	Accepted	-	
19	Raohit Anand	Accepted	-	
20	Gideon Shaji	Accepted	-	
21	Janu Komut	Accepted	-	
22	Maya Deori	Accepted	-	
23	Surya Prakash	Accepted	-	
24	Gouthenlal Khongsai	Accepted	-	
25	Vipin Jatin	Accepted	-	
26	Rishi Kumar Sarki	Accepted	-	
27	Angkita Deka	Accepted	-	
28	Doli Deori	Accepted	-	
29	Bitto Lego	Accepted	-	
30	Debabrat Senapati	Accepted	-	

D. Raju
09/12/24

W. H.
9/12

S. Singh

R. Singh
9/12/24

M. Sharma
09/12/2024

D. Singh
09/12

S. Singh

Ch. Singh
anbu

A. Singh

31	Esther Lalruatpuii	Accepted	-	
32	Aishee Roy	Accepted	-	
33	Bandana Borah	Accepted	-	
34	Subash Roy	Accepted	-	
35	Harikrishnan J. S.	Accepted	-	
36	Rahul Choudhary	Accepted	-	
37	Bhagyashree Chetia	Accepted	-	
38	Rasendra Shukla	Accepted	-	
39	Avradeep Bose	Accepted	-	
40	Anupam Chanda	Accepted	-	
41	S. Line	Accepted	-	
42	Sneha Gogoi	Accepted	-	
43	Paramjinang Moita	-	Rejected	B
44	Malini Palit	-	Rejected	L
45	Nangki Mekir	-	Rejected	F
46	Salam Binoy Singh	-	Rejected	L
47	Dr. Rajseh Ananda Kamble	-	Rejected	L
48	Kato Badu	-	Rejected	F
49	Lungbamle Ngaubui	-	Rejected	F

P. Gogoi
9/12/24

with
9/12

9/12/24

Samyukta

M. S. Kalme
09/12/2024

Dinesh
09/12

R. J. ...
09/11/24

Dr. Rajseh Ananda Kamble

S. ...

P. ...

Reasons for Rejection

- A) UG Degree/percentage is not as per the advertisement.
- B) PG Degree/ percentage is not as per the advertisement.
- C) PhD Degree is not as per the advertisement.
- D) Experience is not as per the advertisement (less no. of years).
- E) Experience is not as per the advertisement (not in desired scale).
- F) The minimum qualification i.e. PG (with NET)/PhD is not as per the criteria given in the advertisement.
- G) No PG Degree as per the requirement mentioned in the advertisement.
- H) No. of PhD guided is not as per the advertisement.
- I) No. of SCI/Scopus research publications is less than the requirement mentioned in the advertisement.
- J) The Post PhD experience is less than the requirement mentioned in the advertisement.
- K) No objection certificate (NoC) from the present employer is not attached or the application routed through the proper channel is not received.
- L) Applicant's age is not within the limit mentioned in the advertisement.
- M) No post is advertised against the post applied for.
- N) Category candidate but does not fulfill UR qualification to go against the UR vacancy.

(O) A valid EWS certificate is not attached.